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Curiosity about science helps to offset political biases

PHILADELPHIA – Science curiosity appears to counteract people’s tendency to seek out only information that supports their political biases.

A study published today finds that people who are especially curious about science are more willing to grapple with surprising information that runs counter to their political leanings than people who are less curious about science.

The study, “Science Curiosity and Political Information Processing,” was published online in the journal Advances in Political Psychology. The research was led by a team from Yale University and the Annenberg Public Policy Center (APPC) of the University of Pennsylvania.

Prior research has shown that most people prefer to encounter material “that fits rather than challenges their political preconceptions,” in what’s known as the “echo-chamber effect,” said the lead author, Dan M. Kahan, a professor of law and psychology at Yale University and a past visiting scholar at APPC.

But that’s not true for people who are curious about science.

“When they are offered the choice to read news articles that support their views or challenge them on the basis of new evidence, science-curious individuals opt for the challenging information,” Kahan said. “For them, surprising pieces of evidence are bright shiny objects – they can’t help but grab at them.”

Science curiosity, as defined by the researchers, is a general disposition that reflects a desire “to seek out and consume scientific information for personal pleasure.” Kahan added, “People who are curious about science are going to be interested in lots of other things. They are people who have a certain cast of mind.”

Science curiosity vs. knowledge

Science curiosity is different from science knowledge, however. People who are more knowledgeable about science tend to be even more polarized than those who know less about science. On global warming and fracking, for instance, liberal Democrats see a higher risk than conservative Republicans. And the more they know about science, the greater the difference between these groups.

But researchers found that as the political partisans’ level of science curiosity increased, the extent of polarization becomes progressively smaller. “As their science curiosity goes up, the
polarizing effects of higher science comprehension dissipate, and people move the same direction on contentious policies like climate change and fracking,” Kahan said.

The study was based on observational and experimental data, which included a science curiosity assessment involving samples of 2,500 and 3,000 people administered by the market research firm YouGov. The test subjects viewed excerpts of one of three videos on science issues, including evolution, or a non-science video, and their level of engagement was measured.

Kahan said that science-curious people can serve as “the right kind of ambassador” in bringing an open sense of inquiry and in conveying information to others. “People model their behavior after other people who share their values and outlooks,” he said. “It makes a lot of sense for anyone who wants to promote public engagement with science to try to focus their efforts on culturally diverse, science-curious people because they’ll be listened to when they return to their communities and interact with their peers.”

The study grew out of a collaborative research project on science filmmaking to increase public engagement. Partners in the Evidence-Based Science Filmmaking Initiative include the Cultural Cognition Project at Yale and Tangled Bank Studios at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

In addition to Kahan, the researchers on this study were Kathleen Hall Jamieson, director of the Annenberg Public Policy Center; Ashley Landrum, a postdoctoral fellow at APPC; Katie Carpenter of the Cultural Cognition Project, and Laura Helft of Tangled Bank Studios.

To read or download the study, click here.

The Annenberg Public Policy Center was established in 1994 to educate the public and policy makers about the media’s role in advancing public understanding of political, health, and science issues at the local, state and federal levels. Follow APPC on Twitter and Facebook.